#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 406 393 TM 026 256

AUTHOR Blankmeyer, Eric

TITLE High-Breakdown Regression by Least Quartile

Differences.

PUB DATE 96 NOTE 16p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Computer

Programs (101)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Computer Software; \*Estimation (Mathematics); Least

Squares Statistics; \*Regression (Statistics); Research Methodology; \*Robustness (Statistics)

IDENTIFIERS Outliers

#### **ABSTRACT**

A high-breakdown estimator is a robust statistic that can withstand a large amount of contaminated data. In linear regression, high-breakdown estimators can detect outliers and distinguish between good and bad leverage points. This paper summarizes the case for high-breakdown regression and emphasizes the least quartile difference estimator (LQD) proposed by C. Croux, P. J. Rousseeuw, and O. Hossjer (1994). This regression method examines the absolute differences between every pair of residuals and minimizes the first quartile of these differences with an adjustment for degrees of freedom. LQD is affine equivalent and has a 50% breakdown point, the highest possible. Its asymptotic efficiency is about 67% of least-squares, so LQD should be able to deal with anomalous observations and should also perform well when the data are not contaminated. Although interest in the approach is growing, software is still not widely available. An appendix presents a BASIC computer program for one type of high-breakdown regression. (Contains 17 references.) (SLD)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

ERIC BLANKMEYER

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

High-Breakdown Regression by Least Quartile Differences

Eric Blankmeyer
Department of Finance and Economics
Southwest Texas State University
San Marcos, TX 78666
e-mail: eb01@business.swt.edu
telephone 512-245-3253

Copyright 1996 Eric Blankmeyer

Abstract. This paper is a concise presentation of highbreakdown regression with emphasis on the least quartile difference (LQD) estimator proposed by Croux, Rousseeuw, and Hossjer. The robustness of high-breakdown regression is discussed, and a Basic program for LQD is provided.

Key words. Breakdown point, least median of squares, least quartile difference, linear regression, robust statistics.



# High-breakdown Regression by Least Quartile Differences

## Introduction

A high-breakdown estimator is a robust statistic which can withstand a large amount of contaminated data. In linear regression, high-breakdown estimators can detect outliers and distinguish between good and bad leverage points. Although most high-breakdown estimators are based on resampling schemes which require extensive computation, recent improvements in hardware and algorithms have made these robust methods practical.

This paper summarizes the case for high-breakdown regression. Although interest in the topic is growing and the literature is extensive, software is still not widely available. Some public-domain sources are mentioned, and an appendix contains a Basic program for one type of high-breakdown regression.

# The breakdown point

If a few bad observations can induce an arbitrarily large bias in an estimator, the estimator is said to have a low breakdown point (Rousseeuw and Leroy 1987, chapter 1). For instance, in a sample of n data, the breakdown point of a least-squares (LS) regression is 1/n. Just one outlier, if it is bad enough, can throw the LS line indefinitely far off target. On the other hand, a robust method has a high breakdown point; it can deal with a lot of contamination. The highest achievable breakdown point is fifty percent. If more than half the observations are contaminated, a linear regression method cannot distinguish the good observations from the bad.

One kind of contamination is a regression outlier, a stray observation on the dependent variable. Another kind of contamination is a bad leverage point, a stray observation on an independent variable. A high-breakdown method is unaffected by regression outliers and bad leverage points but does not ignore good leverage points, those observations which lie apart from the other data but are still close to the regression line. Good leverage points improve the precision of the estimates.

In conjunction with other criteria, the breakdown concept can be used to evaluate the sturdiness of various statistics. The sample median is very robust; it attains the maximum breakdown point. The L1 norm, a multivariate version of the median, minimizes the sum of absolute residuals rather than the sum of squared residuals (Dodge 1987, 1992). The L1 norm is not vulnerable to regression outliers but is vulnerable to bad leverage points, so it shares the low breakdown point of LS, as do the M-estimators of Huber (1981). The generalized M-estimators (Hampel et al. 1986, chapter 6) can cope with bad leverage points. Unfortunately, their breakdown point is inversely related to the number of variables in the model (Rousseeuw and Leroy 1987, chapter 6), so they may be affected by aberrant observations in high-dimensional regression problems.

The LS residuals may not be reliable indicators of outliers. If the regression line has broken down, some good observations will have large LS



residuals and some bad observations will have small residuals. The standard tests for heteroscedasticity based on the LS residuals can be quite misleading. The same remark applies to classical diagnostics like Cook's distance and the "hat" matrix. To end up with a robust estimate, one has to start with a robust estimate.

It is tempting to seek robustness by examining the variables one at a time before running the regression. Robust measures of location and dispersion can be computed, while a histogram of each variable may suggest a simple transformation to reduce skewness. This common-sense approach, involving a careful inspection of the data, is certainly worthwhile. However, it is not guaranteed to reveal all the outliers and bad leverage points because the troublesome data are aberrant with respect to the hypothesized regression line, not with respect to a particular variable.

Of course, some robustness problems are more tractable than others. For example, certain models are not subject to bad leverage points. This is trivially the case for univariate samples, but it is also true when the independent variables in a regression model are deterministic. Examples are simple trends and dummy variables, including ANOVA models. The L1 norm or an M-estimator is very appropriate in these cases, where the possibility of contamination is limited to the dependent variable.

In summary, a high-breakdown point is a desirable robustness property, especially in regression and other multivariate models where leverage points can occur. As it happens, combining high breakdown with other good statistical properties is not always straightforward. In particular, a linear regression procedure should be reasonably efficient compared to LS when the data are free of contamination. Moreover, the procedure ought to behave well under linear transformations of the variables: the regression coefficients should adjust in an obvious way when the dependent variable or an independent variable undergoes a shift of origin or a change of scale. LS has this affine equivariance, of course, and so should robust regression.

# High-breakdown regression

Rousseeuw proposed the first high-breakdown, affine-equivariant estimator for linear regression (Rousseeuw 1984, Rousseeuw and Leroy 1987, chapters 1-3, 5). It is called least median of squares (LMS) because the regression line minimizes the median squared residual. The geometric interpretation for bivariate regression is instructive. LMS finds the narrowest strip that covers at least half the observations. (Narrowness is measured in the direction of the dependent variable.) The regression line lies in the middle of the strip. In other words, LMS tries to fit the majority of the observations, ignoring the aberrant data. This explains its asymptotic breakdown point of fifty percent.

When the regression errors are in fact drawn from a well-behaved gaussian distribution, LMS suffers from the low efficiency common to many robust techniques. There are several proposals for high-breakdown, efficient regression estimators. One can use a two-step procedure, in which the LMS



residuals are used as weights in a LS regression. Various weighting schemes have been discussed (Rousseeuw and Leroy 1987, chapter 3).

Alternatively, the median squared residual can be replaced by a more efficient minimand. Croux, Rousseeuw, and Hossjer (1994) introduced the Least Quartile Distance (LQD) estimator. This regression method examines the absolute differences between every pair of residuals and minimizes the first quartile of those differences (with an adjustment for degrees of freedom). It is therefore a multivariate version of the mode, a "nearest neighbor" estimator which is unaffected by skewed data. LQD is affine equivariant and has a fifty percent breakdown point, the highest possible. Its asymptotic efficiency is about 67 percent of LS --very high for a robust method. Therefore, LQD should be able to deal with anomalous observations and at the same time should perform well when the data are not contaminated.

Like LMS, LQD is computed using a resampling scheme. To estimate k regression coefficients, a subsample of k observations is drawn at random from the data set. The regression coefficients are calculated; and the residuals are computed for the entire sample. The differences between each pair of residuals are obtained, and the first quartile of their absolute values is evaluated. This process is repeated many times, and the final regression line is based on the subsample with the least quartile difference.

Rousseeuw and Leroy (1987, chapter 5) have discussed the amount of resampling needed to obtain a robust estimate with high probability. Some guidelines are offered in the Basic program itself. [Rousseeuw (1993) has recently proposed an alternative sampling scheme which has not, however, been implemented in the Basic program for LQD.] Obviously, LQD regression is much more "computer intensive" than LS or even the L1 norm. (The latter is a linear programming problem.) For n observations, the LQD requires, in every subsample, an order statistic of n(n-1)/2 differences between pairs of residuals. Even for moderate n, the calculations would be impractical were it not for Rousseeuw and Croux's clever algorithm.

When the LQD regression has flagged several observations as potential outliers, the researcher will probably want to scrutinize those data. They will have to be discarded, downweighted, or validated and reinstated. Then the researcher may choose to apply LS to the revised sample in order to examine the usual F-statistic, t-ratios, and so on. Since the sample has undergone a complicated "pretest," those diagnostics are no longer strictly valid for classical hypothesis tests. However, they may provide a general impression of the model's adequacy.

Nonlinear parameters pose further computational and conceptual issues for high-breakdown regression, some of which have been explored by Stromberg (1993) and Stromberg and Ruppert (1992).



# Programs for high-breakdown regression

Appendix A contains a Basic program for LQD. The program is simple but serviceable, with few bells and whistles. Users are encouraged to add code to enhance the flexibility of input, the labeling of output, the treatment of missing data, graphics, and other features.

The on-line statistical resource STATLIB currently provides Fortran source code for several procedures. This author is aware of Rousseeuw and Leroy's PROGRESS program for LMS regression and their MINVOL program for covariance matrices; Rousseeuw and Croux's Sn and Qn scale estimators; and Douglas Hawkins' feasible-solution algorithms (FSA) for regression and covariance matrices. Rocke and Woodruff have provided C code for a program to estimate high-breakdown covariance matrices. Other public-domain and commercial implementations are no doubt available.

The literature on robust regression includes many well-studied data sets, both real and hypothetical, which allow researchers to compare LS, L1, LMS, LQD and other methods. In particular, the monograph by Rousseeuw and Leroy (1987) contains numerous examples.

### References

- Bassett, Jr., Gilbert and Roger Koenker (1978). "Asymptotic Theory of Least Absolute Error Regression," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 73, pp. 618-622.
- Croux, Christophe, Peter J. Rousseeuw. and Ola Hossjer (1994). "Generalized S-Estimators," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 89, No. 428, pp. 1271-1281.
- Dodge, Yadolah (editor) (1987). Statistical Data Analysis Based on the L1-Norm and Related Methods. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Dodge, Yadolah (editor) (1992). L1-Statistical Analysis and Related Methods. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Hadi, Ali S. and Jeffrey S. Simonoff (1993). "Procedures for the Identification of Multiple Outliers in Linear Models," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 88, No. 424, pp. 1264-1272.
- Hampel, F. R., E. M. Ronchetti, P. J. Rousseeuw, and W. A. Stahel (1986). Robust Statistics: the Approach Based on Influence Functions. New York: Wiley.
- Hawkins, Douglas M. (1993). "The Accuracy of Elemental Set Approximations for Regressions," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 88, No. 422, pp. 580-589.
- Hettmansperger, Thomas P. and Simon J. Sheather (1992). "A Cautionary Note on the Method of Least Median Squares," *The American Statistician*, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 79-83.
- Huber, Peter J. (1981). Robust Statistics. New York: Wiley.



- Rocke, David M. and David L. Woodruff (1996). "Identification of Outliers in Multivariate Data," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 91, No. 435, pp. 1047-1061.
- Rousseeuw, Peter J. (1984). "Least Median of Squares Regression," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 79, No. 388, pp. 871-880.
- Rousseeuw, Peter J. (1993). "A resampling design for computing high-breakdown regression," *Statistics and Probability Letters*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 125-128.
- Rousseeuw, Peter J. and Annick M. Leroy (1987). Robust Regression and Outlier Detection. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Rousseeuw, Peter J. and Bert C. van Zomeren (1990). "Unmasking Multivariate Outliers and Leverage Points," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 85, No. 411, pp. 633-651 (with discussion).
- Rousseeuw, Peter J. and Christophe Croux (1993). "Alternatives to the Median Absolute Deviation," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 88, No. 424, pp. 1273-1283.
- Stromberg, Arnold J. (1993). "Computation of High Breakdown Nonlinear Regression Parameters," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 88, No. 421, pp. 237-244.
- Stromberg, Arnold J. and David Ruppert (1992). "Breakdown in Nonlinear Regression," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 87, No. 420, pp. 991-997.



# Appendix A. A Basic Program for the Least Quartile Difference Regression

REM THIS PROGRAM COMPUTES A ROBUST LINEAR REGRESSION CALLED REM THE LEAST QUARTILE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR (LQD). IT WAS REM PROPOSED IN THE FOLLOWING PAPER:

CHRISTOPHE CROUX, PETER J. ROUSSEEUW, AND OLA HOSSJER (1994), "GENERALIZED S-ESTIMATORS," JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN STATISTICAL ASSOCIATION, 89, 1271-1281.

REM
REM THE LQD IS CLOSELY RELATED TO OTHER HIGH-BREAKDOWN,
REM COMPUTER-INTENSIVE REGRESSION METHODS LIKE LEAST
REM MEDIAN OF SQUARES AND LEAST TRIMMED SQUARES. WHEN THE
REM RANDOM ERRORS ARE IN FACT GAUSSIAN, THE LQD IS MORE
REM EFFICIENT THAN THESE OTHER HIGH-BREAKDOWN METHODS.
REM (ITS ASYMPTOTIC EFFICIENCY IS ABOUT 67 PERCENT
REM COMPARED TO LEAST SOUARES AT A GAUSSIAN DISTRIBUTION.)

REM LQD RESEMBLES A MODE; IT IS A "NEAREST NEIGHBOR"
REM ESTIMATOR WHICH COMPARES THE ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCE

REM ESTIMATOR WHICH COMPARES THE ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCE
REM BETWEEN EVERY PAIR OF RESIDUALS AND MINIMIZES A CERTAIN

REM BETWEEN EVERY PAIR OF RESIDUALS AND MINIMIZES A CERTAIN REM ORDER STATISTIC OF THOSE DIFFERENCES.

REM REM THE CURRENT VERSION OF THE PROGRAM IS DIMENSIONED FOR 7 INDEPENDENT VARIABLES AND 500 OBSERVATIONS. A CONSTANT REM REM IS AUTOMATICALLY INCLUDED IN THE REGRESSION EQUATION. THE PROGRAM ASSUMES THAT THE ROWS OF THE DATA MATRIX REM REM ARE OBSERVATIONS, WHILE THE COLUMNS ARE VARIABLES. THE REM LAST COLUMN SHOULD CONTAIN THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE. TO MAINTAIN NUMERICAL ACCURACY, THE USER SHOULD SCALE THE REM DATA SO THAT ALL THE VARIABLES HAVE THE SAME ORDER OF REM MAGNITUDE. REM

REM LIKE MOST OTHER HIGH-BREAKDOWN REGRESSION METHODS, REM LOD IS BASED ON A RESAMPLING SCHEME; THE REGRESSION LINE IS FIT TO A LARGE NUMBER OF SUBSAMPLES, AND THE REM LINE THAT MINIMIZES A ROBUST CRITERION IS SELECTED. USE REM ENOUGH SUBSAMPLES (ITR) TO PROVIDE VIRTUAL ASSURANCE REM THAT THERE WILL BE SEVERAL UNCONTAMINATED SUBSAMPLES. REM IN THEIR MONOGRAPH, ROBUST REGRESSION AND OUTLIER REM DETECTION (NEW YORK: WILEY, 1987), P. J. ROUSSEEUW AND REM A. M. LEROY DISCUSS THE RESAMPLING ISSUE IN DETAIL AND

REM REM INDEPENDENT MINIMUM NUMBER **VARIABLES** OF SUBSAMPLES REM 500 REM 1 2 1,000 REM 3 1,500 REM 4 2,000 REM 2,500 REM 5 6 OR MORE 3,000 REM

OFFER THE FOLLOWING ROUGH GUIDELINES:

REM FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION, THE USER SHOULD CONSULT THE



REM

REM

REM

REM REM

REM

REM

REM

```
REM REFERENCES CITED ABOVE.
REM
REM THE GAUSS-JORDAN ROUTINE FOR MATRIX INVERSION IS
    ADAPTED FROM W. W. COOLEY AND P. R. LOHNES (1962),
REM
REM MULTIVARIATE PROCEDURES FOR THE SOCIAL SCIENCES,
REM NEW YORK: WILEY.
    THE FUNCTIONS AND SUBROUTINES IN THIS PROGRAM ARE
REM
REM
    ADAPTED FROM "QN.FOR" -- A FORTRAN PROGRAM FOR
    ROBUST SCALE ESTIMATES WRITTEN BY P. J. ROUSSEEUW
REM
    AND HIS COLLEAGUES.
REM
    DETAILS ON THE ON SCALE ESTIMATE ARE GIVEN IN A
REM
REM PAPER BY P. J. ROUSSEEUW AND C. CROUX (1993):
       "ALTERNATIVES TO THE MEDIAN ABSOLUTE DEVIATION."
REM
REM
       JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN STATISTICAL ASSOCIATION,
       88, 1273-1283.
REM
REM
REM THERE IS NO WARRANTY, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, FOR THIS
REM PROGRAM. ITS SUITABILITY FOR COMMERCIAL USE OR FOR
REM ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE IS NOT GUARANTEED.
REM
4002 DEFINT I-N
4004 DEFDBL C-D
4006 DECLARE FUNCTION SCALE (X(), N,K)
4008 DECLARE FUNCTION FMED (A(), N, NH)
4010 DECLARE FUNCTION WHIMED (A(), IW(), NX)
4012 DECLARE SUB SORT (A(), N, B())
4015 RANDOMIZE TIMER
4020 PRINT "WHAT IS THE INPUT FILE ?"
4025 INPUT INFILES
4030 PRINT "WHAT IS THE OUTPUT FILE ?"
4035 INPUT OUTFILE$
4040 OPEN INFILE$ FOR INPUT AS #1
4045 OPEN OUTFILE$ FOR OUTPUT AS #2
4050 PRINT "HOW MANY OBSERVATIONS ?"
4055 INPUT N
4060 REM
            THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE MUST BE IN THE LAST COLUMN
4065 REM
            OF THE DATA ARRAY.
4070 PRINT "HOW MANY VARIABLES (INDEPENDENT + DEPENDENT) ?"
4075 INPUT K
4080 PRINT "HOW MANY SUBSAMPLES SHOULD BE DRAWN ?"
4085 INPUT ITR
4090 DIM VI(500, 8), R(500), BLQD(8), C(8, 8), D(8)
4095 DIM VD(500), IPIVOT(8), PIVOT(8), INDEX(8, 2), M(8)
4100 \text{ CRITMIN} = 1000000 \#
4110 \text{ PIVMIN} = .000001
4120 RK = K
4130 \text{ RN} = N
4135 REM READ THE DATA
4140 FOR I = 1 TO N
4150 \text{ FOR } J = 1 \text{ TO } K
4160 INPUT #1, VI(I, J)
4170 NEXT J
4180 NEXT I
           INCLUDE A CONSTANT (INTERCEPT) IN THE REGRESSION
4185 REM
```



```
A3
```

```
4190 FOR I = 1 TO N
4200 \text{ VD}(I) = \text{VI}(I, K)
4210 \text{ VI}(I, K) = 1!
4220 NEXT I
              START ITERATIONS; CHOOSE A RANDOM SUBSAMPLE OF K DATA
4235 REM
4240 \text{ FOR L} = 1 \text{ TO ITR}
4250 PRINT "INTERATION
4260 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4262 M(I) = INT(RND * N) + 1
4264 NEXT I
4266 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4268 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4270 \text{ IF I} = \text{J GOTO } 4274
4272 \text{ IF } M(I) = M(J) \text{ GOTO } 4260
4274 NEXT J
4276 NEXT I
4278 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4280 MI = M(I)
4282 D(I) = VD(MI)
4290 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4300 C(I, J) = VI(MI, J)
4310 NEXT J
4320 NEXT I
              INVERT THE KxK MATRIX
4325 REM
4330 DETERM = 1!
4340 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4350 \text{ IPIVOT}(J) = 0
4360 NEXT J
4370 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4380 \text{ CMAX} = 0!
4390 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4400 \text{ if } \text{IPIVOT}(J) = 1 \text{ GOTO } 4480
4410 \text{ FOR JJ} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4420 IF IPIVOT(JJ) = 1 GOTO 4470
4422 IF IPIVOT(JJ) > 1 GOTO 4890
4430 IF ABS(CMAX) \Rightarrow ABS(C(J, K)) GOTO 4470
4440 \text{ IROW} = J
4450 \text{ ICOLUM} = JJ
4460 \text{ CMAX} = C(J, JJ)
4470 NEXT JJ
4480 NEXT J
4485 IPIVOT(ICOLUM) = IPIVOT(ICOLUM) + 1
4490 IF IROW = ICOLUM GOTO 4590
4500 DETERM = -DETERM
4510 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4520 \text{ ZWAP} = C(IROW, J)
4530 \text{ C}(\text{IROW}, \text{J}) = \text{C}(\text{ICOLUM}, \text{J})
4540 C(ICOLUM, J) = ZWAP
4550 NEXT J
4560 \text{ ZWAP} = D(IROW)
4570 D(IROW) = D(ICOLUM)
4580 D(ICOLUM) = ZWAP
4590 \text{ INDEX}(I, 1) = IROW
4600 \text{ INDEX}(I, 2) = ICOLUM
```



```
A4
```

```
4610 \text{ PIVOT}(I) = C(ICOLUM, ICOLUM)
     IF THE SUBSAMPLE IS SINGULAR, OR NEARLY SO, DISCARD
REM
     IT AND DRAW ANOTHER SUBSAMPLE.
4612 IF ABS(PIVOT(I)) < PIVMIN GOTO 4260
4620 DETERM = DETERM * PIVOT(I)
4630 \text{ C(ICOLUM, ICOLUM)} = 1!
4640 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4650 \text{ C}(\text{ICOLUM}, J) = \text{C}(\text{ICOLUM}, J) / \text{PIVOT}(I)
4660 NEXT J
4670 D(ICOLUM) = D(ICOLUM) / PIVOT(I)
4680 \text{ FOR JJ} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4690 IF JJ = ICOLUM GOTO 4760
4700 T = C(JJ, ICOLUM)
4710 C(JJ, ICOLUM) = 0!
4720 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4730 C(JJ, J) = C(JJ, J) - C(ICOLUM, J) * T
4740 NEXT J
4750 D(JJ) = D(JJ) - D(ICOLUM) * T
4760 NEXT JJ
4770 NEXT I
4780 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4790 JJ = K + 1 - I
4800 IF INDEX(JJ, 1) = INDEX(JJ, 2) GOTO 4880
4810 \text{ JROW} = \text{INDEX}(JJ, 1)
4820 \text{ JCOLUM} = \text{INDEX}(JJ, 2)
4830 \text{ FOR } J = 1 \text{ TO } K
4840 \text{ ZWAP} = C(J, JROW)
4850 C(J, JROW) = C(J, JCOLUM)
4860 \text{ C(K, JCOLUM)} = \text{ZWAP}
4870 NEXT J
4880 NEXT I
              COMPUTE ROBUST RESIDUALS FOR THE ENTIRE SAMPLE
4890 REM
4900 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO N}
4910 \text{ SUM} = 0!
4920 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
4930 SUM = SUM + VI(I, J) * D(J)
4940 NEXT J
4950 R(I) = VD(I) - SUM
4970 NEXT I
REM COMPUTE THE ROBUST CRITERION QN.
5210 \text{ CRIT} = \text{SCALE}(R(), N, K)
5220 IF CRITMIN <= CRIT GOTO 5300
5230 CRITMIN = CRIT
5270 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
5280 \text{ BLQD}(J) = D(J)
5290 NEXT J
5300 NEXT L
5310 PRINT #2, "ROBUST SCALE ESTIMATE ", CRITMIN
            PRINT THE LQD REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS
5435 REM
5440 PRINT #2, "LQD REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS (CONSTANT LAST)"
5450 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
5460 PRINT #2, J, BLQD(J)
5470 NEXT J
REM STANDARDIZE THE ROBUST RESIDUALS AND IDENTIFY POTENTIAL
```



```
REM OUTLIERS.
5475 PRINT #2, "
5477 PRINT #2, "STANDARDIZED ROBUST RESIDUALS >= 2.5"
5480 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO N}
5490 \text{ SUM} = 0!
5500 \text{ FOR J} = 1 \text{ TO K}
5510 SUM = SUM + VI(I, J) * BLQD(J)
5520 NEXT J
5530 R(I) = (VD(I) - SUM)/CRITMIN
5540 \text{ if } ABS(R(I)) < 2.5 \text{ THEN GOTO } 5560
5550 PRINT #2, I, R(I)
5560 NEXT I
5570 END
940 FUNCTION FMED (A(), N, NH)
945 DEFINT I-N
950 DIM B(500)
955 FOR I = 1 TO N
960 B(I) = A(I)
965 NEXT I
980 LL = 1
990 LR = N
1000 IF LL >= LR GOTO 1210
1010 AX = B(NH)
1020 \text{ JNC} = LL
1030 J = LR
1040 IF JNC > J GOTO 1180
1050 IF B(JNC) >= AX GOTO 1080
1060 \text{ JNC} = \text{JNC} + 1
1070 GOTO 1050
1080 IF B(J) <= AX GOTO 1110
1090 J = J - 1
1100 GOTO 1080
1110 IF JNC > J GOTO 1170
1120 WA = B(JNC)
1130 B(JNC) = B(J)
1140 B(J) = WA
1150 \text{ JNC} = \text{JNC} + 1
1160 J = J - 1
1170 GOTO 1040
1180 IF J < NH THEN LL = JNC
1190 IF NH < JNC THEN LR = J
1200 GOTO 1000
1210 \text{ FMED} = B(NH)
1220 END FUNCTION
1500 FUNCTION SCALE (X(), N,K)
1502 DEFINT I-N
1503 DIM Y(500), WORK(500), LEFT(500)
1504 DIM IRIGHT(500), IWEIGHT(500), IQ(500), IP(500)
1506 \text{ IH} = (N + K + 1) \setminus 2
1508 KK = IH * (IH - 1) \setminus 2
1510 CALL SORT(X(), N, Y())
1512 FOR I = 1 TO N
```



```
A6
```

```
1514 \text{ LEFT}(I) = N - I + 2
1516 IRIGHT(I) = N
1518 NEXT I
1520 JHELP = N * (N + 1) \setminus 2
1522 \text{ KNEW} = \text{KK} + \text{JHELP}
1524 \text{ NL} = \text{JHELP}
1526 NR = N * N
1628 \text{ IFOUND} = 0
1630 REM
1632 IF (NR - NL > N) AND (IFOUND = 0) THEN
1634 J = 1
1636 \text{ FOR I} = 2 \text{ TO N}
1638 IF LEFT(I) <= IRIGHT(I) THEN
1640 \text{ IWEIGHT}(J) = \text{IRIGHT}(I) - \text{LEFT}(I) + 1
1642 JHELP = LEFT(I) + IWEIGHT(J) \setminus 2
1644 \text{ WORK}(J) = Y(I) - Y(N + 1 - JHELP)
1646 J = J + 1
1648 END IF
1650 NEXT I
1652 TRIAL = WHIMED(WORK(), IWEIGHT(), J - 1)
1654 J = 0
1656 \text{ FOR I} = N \text{ TO } 1 \text{ STEP } -1
1658 REM
1660 IF J < N AND ((Y(I) - Y(N - J)) < TRIAL) THEN
1662 J = J + 1
1664 GOTO 1658
1666 END IF
1668 \text{ IP}(I) = J
1670 NEXT I
1672 J = N + 1
1674 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO N}
1676 REM
1678 IF ((Y(I) - Y(N - J + 2)) > TRIAL) THEN
1680 J = J - 1
1682 GOTO 1676
1684 END IF
1686 IQ(I) = J
1688 NEXT I
1690 \text{ ISUMP} = 0
1692 ISUMQ = 0
1694 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO N}
1696 \text{ ISUMP} = \text{ISUMP} + \text{IP(I)}
1698 \text{ ISUMQ} = \text{ISUMQ} + \text{IQ(I)} - 1
1700 NEXT I
1701 IF KNEW <= ISUMP THEN
1702 FOR I = 1 TO N
1704 \text{ IRIGHT}(I) = IP(I)
1706 NEXT I
1708 NR = ISUMP
1710 ELSE
1712 IF KNEW > ISUMQ THEN
1714 FOR I = 1 TO N
1716 \text{ LEFT}(I) = IQ(I)
1718 NEXT I
```



```
1720 \text{ NL} = \text{ISUMQ}
1722 ELSE
1724 ON = TRIAL
1726 \text{ IFOUND} = -1
1728 END IF
1730 END IF
1732 GOTO 1630
1734 END IF
1736 IF IFOUND = 0 THEN
1738 J = 1
1740 \text{ FOR I} = 2 \text{ TO N}
1742 IF LEFT(I) <= IRIGHT(I) THEN
1744 \text{ FOR JJ} = \text{LEFT}(I) \text{ TO IRIGHT}(I)
1746 \text{ WORK}(J) = Y(I) - Y(N - JJ + 1)
1748 J = J + 1
1750 NEXT JJ
1752 END IF
1754 NEXT I
1756 QN = FMED(WORK(), J - 1, KNEW - NL)
1758 END IF
1760 IF N <= 9 THEN
1762 \text{ IF N} = 2 \text{ THEN DN} = .399
1764 \text{ IF N} = 3 \text{ THEN DN} = .994
1766 \text{ IF N} = 4 \text{ THEN DN} = .512
1768 \text{ IF N} = 5 \text{ THEN DN} = .844
1770 \text{ IF N} = 6 \text{ THEN DN} = .611
1772 \text{ IF N} = 7 \text{ THEN DN} = .857
1774 \text{ IF N} = 8 \text{ THEN DN} = .669
1776 \text{ IF N} = 9 \text{ THEN DN} = .872
1778 ELSE
1780 IF (N MOD 2) = 1 THEN DN = N / (N + 1.4)
1782 IF (N MOD 2) = 0 THEN DN = N / (N + 3.8)
1784 END IF
1786 SCALE = DN * 2.2219 * QN
1788 END FUNCTION
570 SUB SORT (A(), N, B())
575 DEFINT I-N
580 DIM JLV(500), JRV(500)
585 FOR I = 1 TO N
590 B(I) = A(I)
595 NEXT I
600 \text{ JSS} = 1
610 \text{ JLV}(1) = 1
620 JRV(1) = N
630 \text{ JNDL} = \text{JLV}(\text{JSS})
640 JR = JRV(JSS)
650 JSS = JSS - 1
660 \text{ JNC} = \text{JNDL}
670 J = JR
680 JTWE = (JNDL + JR) \setminus 2
690 XX = B(JTWE)
700 IF B(JNC) >= XX GOTO 730
710 \text{ JNC} = \text{JNC} + 1
```



**A8** 

```
720 GOTO 700
730 IF XX >= B(J) GOTO 760
740 J = J - 1
750 GOTO 730
760 IF JNC > J GOTO 806
770 \text{ AMM} = B(JNC)
780 B(JNC) = B(J)
790 B(J) = AMM
800 \text{ JNC} = \text{JNC} + 1
804 J = J - 1
806 IF JNC <= J GOTO 700
808 \text{ IF } (J - JNDL) < (JR - JNC) GOTO 822
810 IF JNDL >= J GOTO 818
812 JSS = JSS + 1
814 \text{ JLV}(JSS) = JNDL
816 \text{ JRV(JSS)} = J
818 JNDL = JNC
820 GOTO 832
822 IF JNC >= JR GOTO 830
824 JSS = JSS + 1
826 \text{ JLV}(JSS) = JNC
828 \text{ JRV}(JSS) = JR
830 JR = J
832 IF JNDL < JR GOTO 660
834 IF JSS <> 0 GOTO 630
836 END SUB
1900 FUNCTION WHIMED (A(), IW(), NX)
1902 DEFINT I-N
1904 DIM ACAND (500), IWCAND (500)
1906 NN = NX
1908 \text{ IWTOTAL} = 0
1910 FOR I = 1 TO NN
1912 \text{ IWTOTAL} = \text{IWTOTAL} + \text{IW}(I)
1914 NEXT I
1916 \text{ IWREST} = 0
1918 REM
1920 TRIAL = FMED(A(), NN, NN \setminus 2 + 1)
1922 \text{ IWLEFT} = 0
1924 \text{ IWMID} = 0
1926 \text{ IWRIGHT} = 0
1928 FOR I = 1 TO NN
1930 IF A(I) < TRIAL THEN
1932 \text{ IWLEFT} = \text{IWLEFT} + \text{IW}(I)
1934 ELSE
1936 IF A(I) > TRIAL THEN
1938 \text{ IWRIGHT} = \text{IWRIGHT} + \text{IW}(I)
1940 ELSE
1942 \text{ IWMID} = \text{IWMID} + \text{IW}(I)
1944 END IF
1946 END IF
1948 NEXT I
1950 IF ((2 * IWREST + 2 * IWLEFT) > IWTOTAL) THEN
1952 \text{ KCAND} = 0
```



**A**9

```
1954 FOR I = 1 TO NN
1956 IF A(I) < TRIAL THEN
1958 \text{ KCAND} = \text{KCAND} + 1
1960 \text{ ACAND}(\text{KCAND}) = A(I)
1962 \text{ IWCAND}(\text{KCAND}) = \text{IW}(\text{I})
1964 END IF
1966 NEXT I
1968 NN = KCAND
1970 ELSE
1972 IF ((2 * IWREST + 2 * IWLEFT + 2 * IWMID) > IWTOTAL) THEN
1974 WHIMED = TRIAL
1976 GOTO 2014
1978 ELSE
1980 \text{ KCAND} = 0
1982 FOR I = 1 TO NN
1984 IF A(I) > TRIAL THEN
1986 \text{ KCAND} = \text{KCAND} + 1
1988 \text{ ACAND}(KCAND) = A(I)
1990 IWCAND(KCAND) = IW(I)
1992 END IF
1994 NEXT I
1996 \text{ NN} = \text{KCAND}
1998 IWREST = IWREST + IWLEFT + IWMID
2000 END IF
2002 END IF
2004 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO NN}
2006 A(I) = ACAND(I)
2008 \text{ IW(I)} = \text{IWCAND(I)}
2010 NEXT I
2012 GOTO 1918
2014 REM
2016 END FUNCTION
```





#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

<ol> <li>DOCUMENT I</li> </ol>	DENTIFICATION:
--------------------------------	----------------

Title:				
High-Breakdown Regression by Least Quartile Differences				
Author(s): ERIC BLANKME. YER				
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:			
SOUTHWEST TEXAS STATE UNIV.	AUG. 1996			

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.

y s	ample sticker to be affixed to document	Sample sticker to be affixed to documen	nt 💮
Check here Permitting	"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	or here
microfiche (4" x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and	Sample	Sample	Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy.
optical media reproduction.	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)"	

Level 1

Level 2

# Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: Chick Summeyer Position: PROFESSOR

Printed Name: ERIC BLANKINEYER Organization: SOUTHWEST TEXAS STATE U.

Address: DEPT, FINANCE/ECONOMICS Telephone Number: (512) 245-3253

SOUTHWEST TEXAS STATE U.

Date: NOV. 28 1996

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as

